UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,827	11/26/2003	Heber MacMahon	245430US-20	4777	
22850 7590 08/24/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER		
			LAMPRECHT, JOEL		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3737		
			<u></u>		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/24/2007	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		<i>∑</i> ,	
The second secon	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/721,827	MACMAHON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Joel M. Lamprecht	3737	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 De	ecember 2006.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11,13-19 and 21-38 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11,13-19 and 21-38 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the $\mathfrak k$	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		(	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/21/06.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/721,827 Page 2

Art Unit: 3737

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 has been withdrawn, and a new full rejection of the claims as listed follows.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-11, 13-19, 21-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giger et al (US 6,205,348 b1) in view of Jabri et al (US 6,661,873 B2). Giger et al disclose a method for identifying pathologic changes in medical image data by obtaining a temporal subtraction image, extracting a feature (Col 8 Line 5-35), determining a region of interest and if an abnormality exists in that region (Col 8 Line 60-Col 11 Line 20), and superimposing a diagnostic symbol on one of the temporal image or the two images themselves. The data analysis uses a histogram (Fig 26) for accuracy determinations, a filtering function for smoothing (Fig 3, Col 4 Line 30-45), pixel-by-pixel histograms, thresholding a subtraction image, identifying diseased or other pathology within a subtracted image. The images produced are dual-energy images, and the images are of the type of bone, and soft tissue images.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,827 Page 3

Art Unit: 3737

4. Giger et al do not disclose the use of two images taken at different times as noted by Applicant's response. Attention is then paid to the secondary reference in the same area of endeavor which discloses a substantial motion artifact reduction method for dual-energy radiography. Specifically, Jabri et al disclose the use of images taken at different times for dual-energy subtraction and also disclose the registration of motion vectors between the multiple images themselves on top of the subtraction methods similar, but not in as much detail as those of Giger et al (Col 3 Line 45- Col 6 Line 36 of Jabri et al). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the methods and apparatus described in Jabri et al in the methods of Giger et al to allow for time-elapsed imaging and assessment of a region of interest within a patient while retaining diagnostic data pertinent to medical diagnosis of relevant pathologies.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joel M. Lamprecht whose telephone number is (571) 272-3250. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on (571)272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,827

Art Unit: 3737

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JML 8/17/07

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMMENTECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700